


2008 Richard Pelz Memorial Lecture

High-Performance, High-Precision Computing in Math, Physics and Engineering

David H Bailey
Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, USA
This talk is available at:

http://crd.lbl.gov/~dhbailey/dhbtalks/dhb-pelz.pdf



High-Performance Computing: Progress and Challenges



Progress to date:


- Thousands of demanding scientific computations have been successfully implemented on highly parallel computer systems.
- Performance has advanced at an exponential rate (closely following Moore's Law) for over 30 years.
- Additional advances have resulted from improved numerical algorithms and programming techniques.
- Many disciplines now use this technology: biology, medicine, aerospace, automotive, physics, astrophysics, semiconductors, financial modeling.

Challenges that lie ahead:

- The era of relentless increase in clock speed is over.
- High-end systems of the future will feature millions of multi-core processors.
- Scientific applications on future high-end systems must exhibit and exploit enormous concurrency (e.g., roughly 10¹⁰-way concurrency).
- System software (operating systems, compilers, performance tools, etc.)
 must also be retargeted to these extremely highly parallel systems.

Top500 Performance Trends





The "Franklin" System at LBNL's NERSC Computer Center



- 9,660 dual-core Opteron computational nodes (19,320 CPUs).
- 100 Tflop/s (100 trillion floating-point operations / sec) peak performance.
- 38.6 Tbytes (38.6 trillion bytes) main memory.



Future Climate Modeling Requirements



Current state-of-the-art:


- Atmosphere: 1.4 horizontal deg spacing, with 26 vertical layers.
- Ocean: 1 degree spacing, 40 vertical layers.
- Currently one simulated day uses
 140 seconds, on 208 CPUs.

Enhancements to physics (carbon cycles, bio-geochemistry, etc,):

25X increase in cost.

Resolution enhancements:

- 0.7 deg atmosphere, 1 deg ocean:
 5.75X, which with 25X is 144X.
- 0.35 deg atmosphere; 0.5 deg ocean: 800-1000X current usage.




Future Fusion Simulation Requirements



Tokamak turbulence (GTC) for ITER:

- Grid size: 10,000 x 4000 x 256, or about 10¹⁰ gridpoints, with 200,000 time steps required.
- Improved plasma model will increase cost by 10-100X.
- ◆ Total cost: 6 x 10²¹ flop = 1 hours on a 1 Eflop/s (i.e., 10¹⁸ flop/s) computer system; 10 Pbyte main memory.



All-Orders Spectral Algorithm (AORSA) – absorption of RF waves in plasma:

- Present Day: 300,000 x 300,000 complex linear system; requires 1.3 hours on a 1 Tflop/s system; 1.2 Tbyte memory.
- Future (ITER scale): 6,000,000 x 6,000,000 system will require 1 hour on a 1 Eflop/s system; 1 Pbyte main memory.

Future Astrophysics Computation Requirements




Supernova simulation:

 3-D model calculations will require 1,000,000 CPU-hours per run, on a 1 Pflop/s system, or 1000 hours per run on a 1 Eflop/s system.

Analysis of cosmic microwave background data:

- WMAP (now): 3x10²¹ flops, 16 Tbyte memory.
- ◆ PLANCK (2007): 2x10²⁴ flops, 1.6 Pbyte memory.
- ◆ CMBpol (2015): 1x10²⁷ flops, 1 Ebyte memory.

Note: Microwave background data analysis, and also supernova data analysis, involves mountains of experimental data, not simulation data.



The SciDAC Program of the U.S. Department of Energy



Scientific Discovery through Advanced Computing (SciDAC) – a \$75 M/yr program to advance high-performance computing for DOE missions.

Scientific applications:

- Physics: Accelerator design, astrophysics, particle physics, cosmology.
- Climate modeling: Full-scale, long-term modeling of climate.
- Groundwater simulation: Long-term environmental hazards.
- Fusion energy: Plasma physics, reactor design.
- Biology: Ethanol production, protein function.
- Material science and chemistry: Nanoscience, quantum chemistry, electronic structure calculations.


Mathematics and computer science:


- Applied mathematics: Improved algorithms and parallelization schemes.
- Computer science: Performance tools, data storage, networking.
- Visualization: "Seeing" the data on petascale systems.

The SciDAC Performance Engineering Research Institute (PERI)



- Participating institutions: Argonne,
 LBNL, LLNL, Oak Ridge, Rice, UCSD, U
 Maryland, UNC, USC, U Tennessee.
- Lead investigators: Robert Lucas, USC/ISI and David H Bailey, LBNL.
- Funding: \$4 million per year.
- Mission: To improve the performance of DOE-funded science applications on high-end computing platforms.
- Component activities:
 - Performance modeling.
 - Automatic performance tuning.
 - Application engagement.






S3D Performance Improvement



- S3D is a large SciDAC scientific application code for simulation of combustion and turbulence.
- Recently a "tiger team" of researchers in the PERI project, in conjunction with the S3D team, analyzed the performance profile of S3D.
- After code changes to improve cache blocking, and insertion of a custom exproutine, preliminary figures indicate roughly 20% speedup on a Cray system.
- These changes are potentially worth hundreds of thousands of dollars per year in high-end computer time.



Contributors: B. de Supinski, J. Mellor-Crummey, M. Fagan, N. Wright, A. Snavely, D. Bailey, R. Vuduc, S. Shende, A. Morris, A. Malony, K. Huck, J. Larkin, J. Chen, D. Lignell, K. Roche, P. Worley.

High-Precision Computation



Most scientific computation utilizes floating-point arithmetic (although some, such genome sequence analysis, use only integer computations).

Present-day computer hardware supports three types of floating-point:

- IEEE 32-bit ("single precision"), roughly 6 digits.
- IEEE 64-bit ("double precision"), roughly 16 digits.
- IEEE 80-bit ("extended precision"), roughly 18 digits (Intel and AMD).

For a growing number of computations, much higher precision is needed:

- Quantum field theory.
- Supernova simulation.
- Semiconductor physics.
- Planetary orbit calculations.
- Ising theory of mathematical physics.
- Experimental and computational mathematics.

LBNL's High-Precision Software: ARPREC and QD



- QD: Double-double (32 digits) and quad-double (64 digits).
- ARPREC: Arbitrary precision (hundreds or thousands of digits).
- Low-level routines written in C++.
- High-level C++ and F-90 translation modules permit use with existing programs with only minor code changes.
- Integer, real and complex datatypes.
- Many common functions: sqrt, cos, exp, gamma, etc.
- PSLQ, root finding, numerical integration.
- An interactive "Experimental Mathematician's Toolkit."
- Can easily be incorporated into a highly parallel program.

Available at: http://www.experimentalmath.info

Other widely used high-precision software:


- GMP: http://gmplib.org
- MPFR: http://www.mpfr.org

D. H. Bailey, Y. Hida, X. S. Li and B. Thompson, "ARPREC: An Arbitrary Precision Computation Package," manuscript, Sept 2002, http://crd.lbl.gov/~dhbailey/dhbpapers/arprec.pdf.

High-Precision Arithmetic: Supernova Simulations



- Researchers are using QD to solve for equilibrium populations of iron and other atoms in the atmospheres of supernovas.
- Iron may exist in several species, so it is necessary to solve for all species simultaneously.
- Since the relative population of a species is proportional to the exponential of the ionization energy, the dynamic range of these values can be very large.
- The quad-double (64-digit) portion now dominates the entire computation.



P. H. Hauschildt and E. Baron, "The Numerical Solution of the Expanding Stellar Atmosphere Problem," Journal Computational and Applied Mathematics, vol. 109 (1999), pg. 41-63.

High-Precision Arithmetic: Planetary Orbit Calculations



- A key question of planetary theory is whether the solar system is stable over cosmological time frames (billions of years).
- Scientists have studied this question by performing very long-term simulations of chaotic planetary motions.
- Simulations typically do well for long periods of time, but then fail at certain key junctures, unless special measures are taken.
- Researchers have found that double-double or quad-double arithmetic is required to avoid severe numerical inaccuracies, even if other techniques are employed.


"The orbit of any one planet depends on the combined motions of all the planets, not to mention the actions of all these on each other. To consider simultaneously all these causes of motion and to define these motions by exact laws allowing of convenient calculation exceeds, unless I am mistaken, the forces of the entire human intellect." [Isaac Newton, 1687]

G. Lake, T. Quinn and D. C. Richardson, "From Sir Isaac to the Sloan Survey: Calculating the Structure and Chaos Due to Gravity in the Universe," *Proceedings of the Eighth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms*, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1997, pg. 1-10.

Roll-Up Vortex Simulations: A Study Co-Authored with Richard Pelz



- A long-standing conjecture from fluid dynamics is that vortices assume exponential spiral shapes.
- Careful simulations of these vortices require high-precision arithmetic to obtain numerically meaninful results.
- In a study co-authored with Pelz, a vortex simulation was performed using 64-digit arithmetic.
- These results seem to indicate that in a certain parameter range, vortices do not assume exponential spiral shapes.
- More study is needed to understand this phenomenon.



D. H. Bailey, R. Krasny and R. Pelz, "Multiple Precision, Multiple Processor Vortex Sheet Roll-Up Computation," *Proceedings of the Sixth SIAM Conference on Parallel Processing for Scientific Computing*, 1993, SIAM, Philadelphia, pg. 52-56.

Analysis of Vibration Modes in Crystal Resonators



- In these computations, the magnitudes of coefficients of a series expansion can reach 10³⁰ for the parameters used.
- To achieve an accuracy of at least 16 digits in the result thus requires a minimum of 46-digit precision, and in practice several additional digits are required.
- In a 1999 study, researchers were able to overcome these difficulties by using the MPFUN package, a predecessor of ARPREC. The QD package would have been adequate (and faster) for this study, but when this research was done, the QD package was not yet available.
- In other applications of this general type (finite element structural computations), separation of eigenvalues is a significant issue. This can be rectified, in many cases, using high-precision arithmetic.

Ji Wang, P. C. Y. Lee and D. H. Bailey, "Thickness-Shear and Flexural Vibrations of Linearly Contoured Crystal Strips with Multiprecision Computation," *Computers and Structures*, vol. 70 (1999), pg. 437-445.

The PSLQ Integer Relation Algorithm: A Tool to Discover Mathematical Relationships



Let (x_n) be a given vector of real numbers. An integer relation algorithm finds integers (a_n) such that

$$a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \cdots + a_nx_n = 0$$

(or within "epsilon" of zero, where epsilon = 10^{-p} and p is the precision).


At the present time the "PSLQ" algorithm of mathematician-sculptor Helaman Ferguson is the most widely used integer relation algorithm. It was named one of ten "algorithms of the century" by *Computing in Science and Engineering*.

PSLQ (or any other integer relation scheme) requires very high precision (at least n*d digits, where d is the size in digits of the largest a_k), both in the input data and in the operation of the algorithm.

^{1.} H. R. P. Ferguson, D. H. Bailey and S. Arno, "Analysis of PSLQ, An Integer Relation Finding Algorithm," *Mathematics of Computation*, vol. 68, no. 225 (Jan 1999), pg. 351-369.

^{2.} D. H. Bailey and D. J. Broadhurst, "Parallel Integer Relation Detection: Techniques and Applications," *Mathematics of Computation*, vol. 70, no. 236 (Oct 2000), pg. 1719-1736.

Application of PSLQ: Bifurcation Points in Chaos Theory




Let t be the smallest r such that the "logistic iteration"

$$x_{n+1} = rx_n(1-x_n)$$

exhibits 8-way periodicity instead of 4-way periodicity.

By means of an iterative scheme, one can obtain the numerical value of t to any desired precision:



3.54409035955192285361596598660480454058309984544457367545781...


Applying PSLQ to the vector (1, t, t^2 , t^3 , ..., t^{12}), one finds that t satisfies:

$$0 = 4913 + 2108t^{2} - 604t^{3} - 977t^{4} + 8t^{5} + 44t^{6} + 392t^{7}$$
$$-193t^{8} - 40t^{9} + 48t^{10} - 12t^{11} + t^{12}$$

David H. Bailey, Jonathan M. Borwein, Vishal Kapoor and Eric Weisstein, "Ten Problems in Experimental Mathematics," *American Mathematical Monthly*, vol. 113, no. 6 (Jun 2006), pg. 481-409.

Application of PSLQ: Identifying Ten Constants from Quantum Field Theory





$$V_{3L} \qquad V_{4A}$$

$$V_{1} = 6\zeta(3) + 3\zeta(4)$$

$$V_{2A} = 6\zeta(3) - 5\zeta(4)$$

$$V_{2N} = 6\zeta(3) - \frac{13}{2}\zeta(4) - 8U$$

$$V_{3T} = 6\zeta(3) - 9\zeta(4)$$

$$V_{3S} = 6\zeta(3) - \frac{11}{2}\zeta(4) - 4C^{2}$$

$$V_{3L} = 6\zeta(3) - \frac{15}{4}\zeta(4) - 6C^{2}$$

$$V_{4A} = 6\zeta(3) - \frac{77}{12}\zeta(4) - 6C^{2}$$

$$V_{4N} = 6\zeta(3) - 14\zeta(4) - 16U$$

$$V_5 = 6\zeta(3) - \frac{469}{27}\zeta(4) + \frac{8}{3}C^2 - 16V$$

$$V_6 = 6\zeta(3) - 13\zeta(4) - 8U - 4C^2$$

where

$$C = \sum_{k>0} \sin(\pi k/3)/k^{2}$$

$$U = \sum_{j>k>0} \frac{(-1)^{j+k}}{j^{3}k}$$

$$V = \sum_{j>k>0} (-1)^{j} \cos(2\pi k/3)/(j^{3}k)$$

Some Supercomputer-Class PSLQ Solutions



- Identification of B₄, the fourth bifurcation point of the logistic iteration:
 Integer relation of size 121. 10,000-digit arithmetic.
- Identification of Apery sums.
 15 integer relation problems, with size up to 118. 5,000-digit arithmetic.
- Identification of Euler-zeta sums.
 Hundreds of integer relation problems, each of size 145. 5,000-digit arithmetic.
- Finding recursions in Ising integrals.
 - Over 2600 high-precision numerical integrations, and integer relation detections. 1500-digit arithmetic. Run on Apple system at Virginia Tech 12 hours on 64 CPUs.
- Finding a relation involving a root of Lehmer's polynomial.
 Integer relation of size 125. 50,000-digit arithmetic. Utilizes 3-level, multi-pair parallel PSLQ program. Run on IBM parallel system 16 hours on 64 CPUs.
- 1. D. H. Bailey and D. J. Broadhurst, "Parallel Integer Relation Detection: Techniques and Applications," *Mathematics of Computation*, vol. 70, no. 236 (Oct 2000), pg. 1719-1736.
- 2. D. H. Bailey, D. Borwein, J. M. Borwein and R. Crandall, "Hypergeometric Forms for Ising-Class Integrals," *Experimental Mathematics*, to appear, 2007, http://crd.lbl.gov/~dhbailey/dhbpapers/meijer.pdf.

Fascination With Pi

BERKELEY LAB

Newton (1670):

"I am ashamed to tell you to how many figures I carried these computations, having no other business at the time."

Carl Sagan (1986):

In his book "Contact," the lead scientist (played by Jodie Foster in the movie) looked for patterns in the digits of pi.

New York Times (2007):

On March 14 (03/14) the daily crossword puzzle featured a pitheme: key answers included "pi" in the place of a single character.








Fax from "The Simpsons" Show







The Borwein-Plouffe Observation



In 1996, Peter Borwein and Simon Plouffe observed that the following well-known formula for log_e 2

$$\log 2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n2^n} = 0.69314718055994530942...$$

leads to a simple scheme for computing binary digits at an arbitrary starting position (here {} denotes fractional part):

$$\left\{2^{d} \log 2\right\} = \left\{\sum_{n=1}^{d} \frac{2^{d-n}}{n}\right\} + \sum_{n=d+1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{d-n}}{n} \\
= \left\{\sum_{n=1}^{d} \frac{2^{d-n} \bmod n}{n}\right\} + \sum_{n=d+1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{d-n}}{n}$$

Fast Exponentiation Mod n



The exponentiation (2^{d-n} mod n) in this formula can be evaluated very rapidly by means of the binary algorithm for exponentiation, performed modulo n:

Example problem: Calculate the last digit of 3¹⁷ (i.e., compute 3¹⁷ mod 10).

Algorithm B: $3^{17} = (((3^2)^2)^2)^2 \times 3 = 129140163$. Ans = 3.

Algorithm C:

 $3^{17} \mod 10 = ((((3^2 \mod 10)^2 \mod 10)^2 \mod 10)^2 \mod 10) \times 3 \mod 10 = 3.$

In detail:

 $3^2 \mod 10 = 9$; $9^2 \mod 10 = 1$; $1^2 \mod 10 = 1$; $1^2 \mod 10 = 1$; $1 \times 3 = 3$. Ans = 3.

Note that with Algorithm C, we never have to deal with integers greater than 81. This is a huge savings when we deal with very large powers.

The BBP Formula for Pi



In 1996, Simon Plouffe used DHB's PSLQ program and high-precision arithmetic software to discover this new formula for pi:

$$\pi = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{16^k} \left(\frac{4}{8k+1} - \frac{2}{8k+4} - \frac{1}{8k+5} - \frac{1}{8k+6} \right)$$

This formula permits one to compute binary (or hexadecimal) digits of pi beginning at an arbitrary starting position, using a very simple scheme that can run on any system with standard 64-bit or 128-bit arithmetic.

Recently it was proven that no base-n formulas of this type exist for pi, except when $n = 2^m$.

- 1. D. H. Bailey, P. B. Borwein and S. Plouffe, "On the Rapid Computation of Various Polylogarithmic Constants," *Mathematics of Computation*, vol. 66, no. 218 (Apr 1997), pg. 903-913.
- 2. J. M. Borwein, W. F. Galway and D. Borwein, "Finding and Excluding b-ary Machin-Type BBP Formulae," *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 56 (2004), pg. 1339-1342.

Some Other BBP-Type Identities



$$\pi^{2} = \frac{1}{8} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{64^{k}} \left(\frac{144}{(6k+1)^{2}} - \frac{216}{(6k+2)^{2}} - \frac{72}{(6k+3)^{2}} - \frac{54}{(6k+4)^{2}} + \frac{9}{(6k+5)^{2}} \right)$$

$$\pi^{2} = \frac{2}{27} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{729^{k}} \left(\frac{243}{(12k+1)^{2}} - \frac{405}{(12k+2)^{2}} - \frac{81}{(12k+4)^{2}} - \frac{27}{(12k+5)^{2}} \right)$$

$$-\frac{72}{(12k+6)^{2}} - \frac{9}{(12k+7)^{2}} - \frac{9}{(12k+8)^{2}} - \frac{5}{(12k+10)^{2}} + \frac{1}{(12k+11)^{2}} \right)$$

$$\zeta(3) = \frac{1}{1792} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{12k}} \left(\frac{6144}{(24k+1)^{3}} - \frac{43008}{(24k+2)^{3}} + \frac{24576}{(24k+3)^{3}} + \frac{30720}{(24k+4)^{3}} \right)$$

$$-\frac{1536}{(24k+5)^{3}} + \frac{3072}{(24k+6)^{3}} + \frac{768}{(24k+7)^{3}} - \frac{3072}{(24k+9)^{3}} - \frac{2688}{(24k+10)^{3}}$$

$$-\frac{192}{(24k+11)^{3}} - \frac{1536}{(24k+12)^{3}} - \frac{96}{(24k+13)^{3}} - \frac{672}{(24k+14)^{3}} - \frac{384}{(24k+15)^{3}}$$

$$+\frac{24}{(24k+17)^{3}} + \frac{48}{(24k+18)^{3}} - \frac{12}{(24k+19)^{3}} + \frac{120}{(24k+20)^{3}} + \frac{48}{(24k+21)^{3}}$$

$$-\frac{42}{(24k+22)^{3}} + \frac{3}{(24k+23)^{3}} \right)$$

$$\frac{25}{2} \log \left(\frac{781}{256} \left(\frac{57-5\sqrt{5}}{57+5\sqrt{5}} \right)^{\sqrt{5}} \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{5^{5k}} \left(\frac{5}{5k+2} + \frac{1}{5k+3} \right)$$

Papers by D. H. Bailey, P. B. Borwein, S. Plouffe, D. Broadhurst and R. Crandall.

Normality (Digit Randomness) of Mathematical Constants



A real number x is said to be b-normal (or normal base b) if every m-long string of base-b digits appears, in the limit, with frequency b^{-m}.

Whereas it can be shown that almost all real numbers are b-normal (for any b), there are only a handful of proven explicit examples.

It is still not known whether any of the following are b-normal for any b:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \sqrt{2} &=& 1.4142135623730950488\ldots \\ \phi &=& \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2} &=& 0.61803398874989484820\ldots \\ \pi &=& 3.1415926535897932385\ldots \\ e &=& 2.7182818284590452354\ldots \\ \log 2 &=& 0.69314718055994530942\ldots \\ \log 10 &=& 2.3025850929940456840\ldots \\ \zeta(2) &=& 1.6449340668482264365\ldots \\ \zeta(3) &=& 1.2020569031595942854\ldots \end{array}$$

A Connection Between BBP Formulas and Normality



Let $\{\}$ denote fractional part. Consider the sequence defined by $x_0 = 0$,

$$x_n = \left\{2x_{n-1} + \frac{1}{n}\right\}$$

Result: log(2) is 2-normal if and only if this sequence is equidistributed in the unit interval.

In a similar vein, consider the sequence $x_0 = 0$, and

$$x_n = \left\{ 16x_{n-1} + \frac{120n^2 - 89n + 16}{512n^4 - 1024n^3 + 712n^2 - 206n + 21} \right\}$$

Result: pi is 16-normal if and only if this sequence is equidistributed in the unit interval.

A similar result holds for any constant that possesses a BBP-type formula.

D. H. Bailey and R. E. Crandall, "On the Random Character of Fundamental Constant Expansions," *Experimental Mathematics*, vol. 10, no. 2 (Jun 2001), pg. 175-190.

A Class of Provably Normal Constants



We have also shown that this constant (among many others) is 2-normal:

$$\alpha_{2,3} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{3^k 2^{3^k}} = \frac{1}{3 \cdot 2^3} + \frac{1}{3^2 \cdot 2^{3^2}} + \frac{1}{3^3 \cdot 2^{3^3}} + \frac{1}{3^4 \cdot 2^{3^4}} \cdots$$

$$= 0.041883680831502985071252898624571682426096 \dots_{10}$$

$$= 0.0AB8E38F684BDA12F684BF35BA781948B0FCD6E9E0 \dots_{16}$$

This means, for instance, that the entire works of William Shakespeare are contained, in coded form, in the base-16 digits of this number.

These results have led to a practical and efficient pseudo-random number generator based on the binary digits of alpha.

^{1.} D. H. Bailey and R. E. Crandall, "Random Generators and Normal Numbers," *Experimental Mathematics*, vol. 11, no. 4 (2002), pg. 527-546.

^{2.} D. H. Bailey, "A Pseudo-Random Number Generator Based on Normal Numbers," manuscript, Dec 2004, http://crd.lbl.gov/~dhbailey/dhbpapers/normal-random.pdf.

The Tanh-Sinh Algorithm for Numerical Integration



Given f(x) defined on (-1,1), define $g(t) = \tanh (pi/2 \sinh t)$. Then setting x = g(t) yields

$$\int_{-1}^{1} f(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(g(t))g'(t) dt \approx h \sum_{-N}^{N} w_{j} f(x_{j})$$

where $x_j = g(hj)$ and $w_j = g'(hj)$. Since g'(t) goes to zero very rapidly for large t, the product f(g(t)) g'(t) typically is a nice bell-shaped function. For such functions, the Euler-Maclaurin formula of numerical analysis implies that the simple summation above is remarkably accurate. Reducing h by half typically doubles the number of correct digits.

Tanh-sinh quadrature is the best integration scheme for functions with vertical derivatives or blow-up singularities at endpoints, or for any function at very high precision (> 1000 digits).

^{1.} D. H. Bailey, X. S. Li and K. Jeyabalan, "A Comparison of Three High-Precision Quadrature Schemes," *Experimental Mathematics*, vol. 14 (2005), no. 3, pg. 317-329.

^{2.} H. Takahasi and M. Mori, "Double Exponential Formulas for Numerical Integration," Publications of RIMS, Kyoto University, vol. 9 (1974), pg. 721–741.

A Log-Tan Integral Identity from Mathematical Physics




$$\frac{24}{7\sqrt{7}} \int_{\pi/3}^{\pi/2} \log \left| \frac{\tan t + \sqrt{7}}{\tan t - \sqrt{7}} \right| dt \stackrel{?}{=} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{(7n+1)^2} + \frac{1}{(7n+2)^2} - \frac{1}{(7n+3)^2} + \frac{1}{(7n+4)^2} - \frac{1}{(7n+5)^2} - \frac{1}{(7n+6)^2} \right]$$

This conjectured identity arises in mathematical physics from analysis of volumes of ideal tetrahedra in hyperbolic space.

We have verified this numerically to 20,000 digits using highly parallel tanh-sinh quadrature, but no formal proof is known.

D. H. Bailey, J. M. Borwein, V. Kapoor and E. Weisstein, "Ten Problems in Experimental Mathematics," *American Mathematical Monthly*, vol. 113, no. 6 (Jun 2006), pg. 481-409.



Parallel Evaluation of the log-tan Integral



$$\frac{24}{7\sqrt{7}} \int_{\pi/3}^{\pi/2} \log \left| \frac{\tan t + \sqrt{7}}{\tan t - \sqrt{7}} \right| dt = 1.1519254705444910471...$$

CPUs	Init	Integral #1	Integral #2	Total	Speedup
1	190013	1534652	1026692	2751357	1.00
16	12266	101647	64720	178633	15.40
64	3022	24771	16586	44379	62.00
256	770	6333	4194	11297	243.55
1024	199	1536	1034	2769	993.63

1-CPU timings are sums of timings from a 64-CPU run, where barrier waits and communication were not timed.

The performance rate for the 1024-CPU run is 690 Gflop/s.

D. H. Bailey and J. M. Borwein, "Highly Parallel, High-Precision Numerical Integration," *International Journal of Computational Science and Engineering*, to appear, http://crd.lbl.gov/~dhbailey/dhbpapers/quadparallel.pdf.

Integrals from Ising Theory of Mathematical Physics



We recently applied our methods to study three classes of integrals that arise in the Ising theory of mathematical physics:

$$C_{n} := \frac{4}{n!} \int_{0}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} (u_{j} + 1/u_{j})\right)^{2}} \frac{du_{1}}{u_{1}} \cdots \frac{du_{n}}{u_{n}}$$

$$D_{n} := \frac{4}{n!} \int_{0}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{i < j} \left(\frac{u_{i} - u_{j}}{u_{i} + u_{j}}\right)^{2}}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} (u_{j} + 1/u_{j})\right)^{2} \frac{du_{1}}{u_{1}} \cdots \frac{du_{n}}{u_{n}}$$

$$E_n = 2 \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 \left(\prod_{1 \le j < k \le n} \frac{u_k - u_j}{u_k + u_j} \right)^2 dt_2 dt_3 \cdots dt_n,$$

where (in the last line)
$$u_k = \prod_{i=1}^k t_i$$

D. H. Bailey, J. M. Borwein and R. E. Crandall, "Integrals of the Ising Class," *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, vol. 39 (2006), pg. 12271-12302.

Computing and Evaluating C_n



We first showed that the multi-dimensional C_n integrals can be transformed to much more manageable 1-D integrals:

$$C_n = \frac{2^n}{n!} \int_0^\infty t K_0^n(t) \ dt$$

where K_0 is the modified Bessel function.

We used this formula to compute 1000-digit numerical values of various C_n , from which the following results and others were found, then proven:

$$C_1 = 2$$
 $C_2 = 1$
 $C_3 = L_{-3}(2) = \sum_{n\geq 0} \left(\frac{1}{(3n+1)^2} - \frac{1}{(3n+2)^2}\right)$
 $C_4 = 14\zeta(3)$

Limiting Value of C_n



The C_n numerical values approach a limit:

$$C_{10} = 0.63188002414701222229035087366080283...$$

$$C_{40} = 0.63047350337836353186994190185909694...$$

$$C_{100} = 0.63047350337438679612204019271903171...$$

$$C_{200} = 0.63047350337438679612204019271087890...$$

What is this number? We copied the first 50 digits of this numerical value into the online Inverse Symbolic Calculator (ISC), now available at

http://ddrive.cs.dal.ca/~isc

The result was:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} C_n = 2e^{-2\gamma}$$

where gamma denotes Euler's constant. This result is now proven and has been generalized to an asymptotic expansion.

Other Ising Integral Evaluations



$$D_2 = 1/3$$

$$D_3 = 8 + 4\pi^2/3 - 27 L_{-3}(2)$$

$$D_4 = 4\pi^2/9 - 1/6 - 7\zeta(3)/2$$

$$E_2 = 6 - 8 \log 2$$

$$E_3 = 10 - 2\pi^2 - 8 \log 2 + 32 \log^2 2$$

$$E_4 = 22 - 82\zeta(3) - 24 \log 2 + 176 \log^2 2 - 256(\log^3 2)/3 + 16\pi^2 \log 2 - 22\pi^2/3$$

$$E_5 \stackrel{?}{=} 42 - 1984 \operatorname{Li}_4(1/2) + 189\pi^4/10 - 74\zeta(3) - 1272\zeta(3) \log 2 + 40\pi^2 \log^2 2 - 62\pi^2/3 + 40(\pi^2 \log 2)/3 + 88 \log^4 2 + 464 \log^2 2 - 40 \log 2$$

where Li denotes the polylogarithm function.

The Ising Integral E₅



We were able to reduce E_5 , which is a 5-D integral, to an extremely complicated 3-D integral (see below).

We computed this 3-D integral to 250-digit precision, using a parallel highprecision 3-D quadrature program. Then we used PSLQ to discover the evaluation given on the previous page.

```
E_5 = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left[ 2(1-x)^2(1-y)^2(1-z)^2(1-z)^2(1-yz)^2(1-xyz)^2 \left( -\left[ 4(x+1)(xy+1)\log(2)\left(y^5z^3x^7-y^4z^2(4(y+1)z+3\right)x^6-y^3z\left(\left(y^2+1\right)z^2+4(y+1)z^2\right) \right] \right] dx + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left[ 2(1-x)^2(1-y)^2(1-z)^2(1-z)^2(1-yz)^2(1-xyz)^2 \left( -\left[ 4(x+1)(xy+1)\log(2)\left(y^5z^3x^7-y^4z^2(4(y+1)z+3\right)x^6-y^3z\left(\left(y^2+1\right)z^2+4(y+1)z^2\right) \right] \right] dx + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left[ 2(1-x)^2(1-y)^2(1-z)^2(1-z)^2(1-yz)^2(1-xyz)^2 \left( -\left[ 4(x+1)(xy+1)\log(2)\left(y^5z^3x^7-y^4z^2(4(y+1)z+3\right)x^6-y^3z\left(\left(y^2+1\right)z^2+4(y+1)z^2\right) \right] \right] dx + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left[ 2(1-x)^2(1-y)^2(1-z)^2(1-z)^2(1-z)^2(1-z)^2 \left( -\left[ 4(x+1)(xy+1)\log(2)\left(y^5z^3x^7-y^4z^2(4(y+1)z+3\right)x^6-y^3z\left(\left(y^2+1\right)z^2+4(y+1)z^2\right) \right] \right] dx + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left[ 2(1-x)^2(1-xy)^2(1-z)^2(1-xy)^2(1-xy)^2 \left( -\left[ 4(x+1)(xy+1)\log(2)\left(y^5z^3x^7-y^4z^2(4(y+1)z+3\right)x^6-y^3z\left(\left(y^2+1\right)z^2+4(y+1)z^2\right) \right] dx + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left[ 2(1-x)^2(1-xy)^2(1-xy)^2(1-xy)^2(1-xy)^2 \left( -\left[ 4(x+1)(xy+1)\log(2)\left(y^5z^3x^7-y^4z^2(4(y+1)z+3\right)x^6-y^3z\left(\left(y^2+1\right)z^2+4(y+1)z^2\right) \right] dx + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left[ 2(1-x)^2(1-xy)^2(1-xy)^2(1-xy)^2(1-xy)^2(1-xy)^2 \right] dx + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left[ 2(1-x)^2(1-xy)^2(1-xy)^2(1-xy)^2(1-xy)^2 \right] dx + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left[ 2(1-x)^2(1-xy)^2(1-xy)^2(1-xy)^2(1-xy)^2 \right] dx + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left[ 2(1-x)^2(1-xy)^2(1-xy)^2(1-xy)^2 \right] dx + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left[ 2(1-x)^2(1-xy)^2(1-xy)^2(1-xy)^2 \right] dx + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left[ 2(1-x)^2(1-xy)^2(1-xy)^2(1-xy)^2 \right] dx + \int_0^1 \left[ 2(1-x)^2(1-xy)^2(1-xy)^2 \right] dx + \int_0^1 \left[ 2(1-x)^2(1-xy)^2 \right] dx + \int_0^1 \left[
                                                      1)z + 5)x^{5} + y^{2}(4y(y + 1)z^{3} + 3(y^{2} + 1)z^{2} + 4(y + 1)z - 1)x^{4} + y(z(z^{2} + 4z + 5)y^{2} + 4(z^{2} + 1)y + 5z + 4)x^{3} + ((-3z^{2} - 4z + 1)y^{2} - 4zy + 1)x^{2}
                                                        -\left(y(5z+4)+4\right)x-1\right)\right]/\left[(x-1)^{3}(xy-1)^{3}(xyz-1)^{3}\right]+\left[3(y-1)^{2}y^{4}(z-1)^{2}z^{2}(yz-1)^{2}x^{6}+2y^{3}z\left(3(z-1)^{2}z^{3}y^{5}+z^{2}\left(5z^{3}+3z^{2}+3z+5\right)y^{4}+(z-1)^{2}z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5}+z^{2}y^{5
                                                        \left(5z^{2} + 16z + 5\right)y^{3} + \left(3z^{5} + 3z^{4} - 22z^{3} - 22z^{2} + 3z + 3\right)y^{2} + 3\left(-2z^{4} + z^{3} + 2z^{2} + z - 2\right)y + 3z^{3} + 5z^{2} + 5z + 3\right)x^{5} + y^{2}\left(7(z - 1)^{2}z^{4}y^{6} - 2z^{3}\left(z^{3} + 15z^{2}\right)y^{2} + 3z^{4} + 3z
                                                        +15z + 1) y^5 + 2z^2(-21z^4 + 6z^3 + 14z^2 + 6z - 21) y^4 - 2z(z^5 - 6z^4 - 27z^3 - 27z^2 - 6z + 1) y^3 + (7z^6 - 30z^5 + 28z^4 + 54z^3 + 28z^2 - 30z + 7) y^2 - 2(7z^5 - 27z^3 - 27z^2 - 6z + 1)
                                                        +15z^{4} - 6z^{3} - 6z^{2} + 15z + 7y + 7z^{4} - 2z^{3} - 42z^{2} - 2z + 7x^{4} - 2y(z^{3}(z^{3} - 9z^{2} - 9z + 1)y^{6} + z^{2}(7z^{4} - 14z^{3} - 18z^{2} - 14z + 7)y^{5} + z(7z^{5} + 14z^{4} + 3z^{4} + 3z^{2} + 15z^{4} + 7)y^{5} + z(7z^{5} + 14z^{4} + 3z^{4} + 15z^{4} + 15z^{4}
                                                        z^{3} + 3z^{2} + 14z + 7)y^{4} + (z^{6} - 14z^{5} + 3z^{4} + 84z^{3} + 3z^{2} - 14z + 1)y^{3} - 3(3z^{5} + 6z^{4} - z^{3} - z^{2} + 6z + 3)y^{2} - (9z^{4} + 14z^{3} - 14z^{2} + 14z + 9)y + z^{3} + 7z^{2} + 7
                                                        +1)\,x^{3} + \left(z^{2} \left(11z^{4} + 6z^{3} - 66z^{2} + 6z + 11\right)y^{6} + 2z\left(5z^{5} + 13z^{4} - 2z^{3} - 2z^{2} + 13z + 5\right)y^{5} + \left(11z^{6} + 26z^{5} + 44z^{4} - 66z^{3} + 44z^{2} + 26z + 11\right)y^{4} + \left(6z^{5} - 4z^{2} + 12z^{4} + 6z^{2} + 12z^{4} +
                                                        z^{4} - 66z^{3} - 66z^{2} - 4z + 6)y^{3} - 2\left(33z^{4} + 2z^{3} - 22z^{2} + 2z + 33\right)y^{2} + \left(6z^{3} + 26z^{2} + 26z + 6\right)y + 11z^{2} + 10z + 11\right)x^{2} - 2\left(z^{2}\left(5z^{3} + 3z^{2} + 3z + 5\right)y^{5} + z\left(22z^{4} + 26z^{2} + 26z + 6\right)y + 11z^{2} + 10z + 11\right)x^{2} - 2\left(z^{2}\left(5z^{3} + 3z^{2} + 3z + 5\right)y^{5} + z\left(22z^{4} + 26z^{2} + 26z + 6\right)y + 11z^{2} + 10z + 11\right)x^{2} - 2\left(z^{2}\left(5z^{3} + 3z^{2} + 3z + 5\right)y^{5} + z\left(22z^{4} + 26z^{2} + 26z + 6\right)y + 11z^{2} + 10z + 11\right)x^{2} - 2\left(z^{2}\left(5z^{3} + 3z^{2} + 3z + 5\right)y^{5} + z\left(22z^{4} + 26z^{2} + 26z + 6\right)y + 11z^{2} + 10z + 11\right)x^{2} - 2\left(z^{2}\left(5z^{3} + 3z^{2} + 3z + 5\right)y^{5} + z\left(22z^{4} + 26z^{2} + 26z + 6\right)y + 11z^{2} + 10z + 11\right)x^{2} - 2\left(z^{2}\left(5z^{3} + 3z^{2} + 3z + 5\right)y^{5} + z\left(22z^{4} + 26z^{2} + 26z + 6\right)y + 11z^{2} + 10z + 11\right)x^{2} - 2\left(z^{2}\left(5z^{3} + 3z^{2} + 3z + 5\right)y^{5} + z\left(22z^{4} + 26z^{2} + 26z + 6\right)y + 11z^{2} + 10z + 11\right)x^{2} - 2\left(z^{2}\left(5z^{3} + 3z^{2} + 3z + 5\right)y^{5} + z\left(22z^{4} + 26z^{2} + 26z + 6\right)y + 11z^{2} + 10z + 11\right)x^{2} - 2\left(z^{2}\left(5z^{3} + 3z^{2} + 3z + 5\right)y^{5} + z\left(22z^{4} + 26z^{2} + 26z + 6\right)y + 11z^{2} + 10z + 11\right)x^{2} - 2\left(z^{2}\left(5z^{3} + 3z^{2} + 3z + 5\right)y^{5} + z\left(22z^{4} + 25z^{2} + 2
                                                        +5z^{3} - 22z^{2} + 5z + 22)y^{4} + (5z^{5} + 5z^{4} - 26z^{3} - 26z^{2} + 5z + 5)y^{3} + (3z^{4} - 22z^{3} - 26z^{2} - 22z + 3)y^{2} + (3z^{3} + 5z^{2} + 5z + 3)y + 5z^{2} + 22z + 5)x + 15z^{2} + 2z
                                                        +2y(z-1)^2(z+1)+2y^3(z-1)^2z(z+1)+y^4z^2\left(15z^2+2z+15\right)+y^2\left(15z^4-2z^3-90z^2-2z+15\right)+15\right]/\left[(x-1)^2(y-1)^2(xy-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(yz-1)^2(
                                                      (xyz-1)^{2}\big] - \big[4(x+1)(y+1)(yz+1)\left(-z^{2}y^{4} + 4z(z+1)y^{3} + \left(z^{2}+1\right)y^{2} - 4(z+1)y + 4x\left(y^{2}-1\right)\left(y^{2}z^{2}-1\right) + x^{2}\left(z^{2}y^{4} - 4z(z+1)y^{3} - \left(z^{2}+1\right)y^{2} + 4z(z+1)y^{2} - 4(z+1)y^{2} + 4z(z+1)y^{2} + 4z(z
                                                        +4(z+1)y+1)-1)\log(x+1)]/[(x-1)^3x(y-1)^3(yz-1)^3]-[4(y+1)(xy+1)(z+1)(x^2(z^2-4z-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^3-(x^2+1)(z^2-4z-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^3-(x^2+1)(z^2-4z-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^3-(x^2+1)(z^2-4z-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^3-(x^2+1)(z^2-4z-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^3-(x^2+1)(z^2-4z-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^3-(x^2+1)(z^2-4z-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^3-(x^2+1)(z^2-4z-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^3-(x^2+1)(z^2-4z-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^3-(x^2+1)(z^2-4z-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^3-(x^2+1)(z^2-4z-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x+1)(z^2-1)y^4+4x(x
                                                      y^2 - 4(x+1)\left(z^2 - 1\right)y + z^2 - 4z - 1\right)\log(xy+1)\right] / \left[x(y-1)^3y(xy-1)^3(z-1)^3\right] - \left[4(z+1)(yz+1)\left(x^3y^5z^7 + x^2y^4(4x(y+1)+5)z^6 - xy^3\left(\left(y^2 + 1\right)y^2 - 4(x+1)(yz+1)\right)x^3y^5z^7 + x^2y^4(4x(y+1)+5)z^6 - xy^3\left(\left(y^2 + 1\right)y^2 - y^2\right)x^3y^5z^7 + x^2y^4(4x(y+1)+5)z^6 - xy^3\left(\left(y^2 + 1\right)y^2 - y^2\right)x^3y^5z^7 + x^2y^4(4x(y+1)+5)z^6 - xy^3\left(\left(y^2 + 1\right)y^2 - y^2\right)x^3y^5z^7 + xy^3y^5z^7 + xy^5y^5z^7 + xy^5y^5z^7
                                                        1) x^2 - 4(y+1)x - 3) z^5 - y^2 (4y(y+1)x^3 + 5(y^2+1)x^2 + 4(y+1)x + 1) z^4 + y (y^2x^3 - 4y(y+1)x^2 - 3(y^2+1)x - 4(y+1)) z^3 + (5x^2y^2 + y^2 + 4x(y+1)x - 4(y+1)) z^3 + (5x^2y^2 + y^2 + 4x(y+1)x - 4(y+1)) z^3 + (5x^2y^2 + y^2 + 4x(y+1)x - 4(y+1)) z^3 + (5x^2y^2 + y^2 + 4x(y+1)x - 4(y+1)) z^3 + (5x^2y^2 + y^2 + 4x(y+1)x - 4(y+1)) z^3 + (5x^2y^2 + y^2 + 4x(y+1)x - 4(y+1)) z^3 + (5x^2y^2 + y^2 + 4x(y+1)x - 4(y+1)) z^3 + (5x^2y^2 + y^2 + 4x(y+1)x - 4(y+1)) z^3 + (5x^2y^2 + y^2 + 4x(y+1)x - 4(y+1)) z^3 + (5x^2y^2 + y^2 + 4x(y+1)x - 4(y+1)) z^3 + (5x^2y^2 + y^2 + 4x(y+1)x - 4(y+1)) z^3 + (5x^2y^2 + y^2 + 4x(y+1)x - 4(y+1)) z^3 + (5x^2y^2 + y^2 + 4x(y+1)x - 4(y+1)) z^3 + (5x^2y^2 + y^2 + 4x(y+1)x - 4(y+1)) z^3 + (5x^2y^2 + y^2 + 4x(y+1)x - 4(y+1)) z^3 + (5x^2y^2 + y^2 + 4x(y+1)x - 4(y+1)) z^3 + (5x^2y^2 + y^2 + 4x(y+1)x - 4(y+1)) z^3 + (5x^2y^2 + y^2 + 4x(y+1)x - 4(y+1)x - 4(
                                                      y+1) z^2+((3x+4)y+4)z-1) \log(xyz+1)] / [xy(z-1)^3z(yz-1)^3(xyz-1)^3])] / [(x+1)^2(y+1)^2(xy+1)^2(z+1)^2(yz+1)^2(xyz+1)^2]
```

Recursions in Ising Integrals



Consider the 2-parameter class of Ising integrals

$$C_{n,k} = \frac{4}{n!} \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^n (u_j + 1/u_j)\right)^{k+1}} \frac{du_1}{u_1} \cdots \frac{du_n}{u_n}$$

(which have connections to quantum field theory). After computing 1000-digit numerical values for all $n \le 36$ and all $k \le 75$ (2660 individual quadrature calculations, performed in parallel), and applying PSLQ, we found linear relations in the rows of this array. For example, when n = 3:

$$0 = C_{3,0} - 84C_{3,2} + 216C_{3,4}$$

$$0 = 2C_{3,1} - 69C_{3,3} + 135C_{3,5}$$

$$0 = C_{3,2} - 24C_{3,4} + 40C_{3,6}$$

$$0 = 32C_{3,3} - 630C_{3,5} + 945C_{3,7}$$

$$0 = 125C_{3,4} - 2172C_{3,6} + 3024C_{3,8}$$

These recursions have been proven for n = 1, 2, 3, 4. Similar, but more complicated, recursions have been found for larger n (see next page).

D. H. Bailey, D. Borwein, J. M. Borwein and R. E. Crandall, "Hypergeometric Forms for Ising-Class Integrals," *Experimental Mathematics*, to appear, http://crd.lbl.gov/~dhbailey/dhbpapers/meijer/pdf.

Experimental Recursion for n = 24



```
C_{24.1}
-1107296298C_{24.3}
+1288574336175660\,C_{24.5}
-88962910652291256000 C_{24,7}
+1211528914846561331193600 C_{24,9}
-5367185923241422152980553600 C_{24.11}
+9857686103738772925980190636800 C_{24,13}
-8476778037073141951236532459008000 C_{24.15}
+3590120926882411593645052529049600000\,C_{24.17}
-745759114781380983188217871663104000000 \, C_{\mathbf{24,19}}
+71215552121869985477578381170258739200000 C_{24,21}
-26498534572479954061133550871746969600000000 C_{24,23}
```

Jonathan Borwein and Bruno Salvy have now given an explicit form for these recursions, together with code to compute any desired case.

J. M. Borwein and B. Salvy, "A Proof of a Recursion for Bessel Moments," manuscript, 2007, http://users.cs.dal.ca/~jborwein/recursion.pdf.

Some New Ising Results (Jan 2008)



$$c_{3,0} = \frac{3\Gamma^{6}(1/3)}{32\pi^{2}} = \frac{\sqrt{3}\pi^{3}}{8} {}_{3}F_{2} \left(\begin{array}{c} 1/2, 1/2, 1/2 \\ 1, 1 \end{array} \right) | 1/4 \right)$$

$$c_{3,2} = \frac{\sqrt{3}\pi^{3}}{288} {}_{3}F_{2} \left(\begin{array}{c} 1/2, 1/2, 1/2 \\ 2, 2 \end{array} \right) | 1/4 \right)$$

$$c_{4,0} = \frac{\pi^{4}}{4} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\binom{2n}{n}^{4}}{4^{4n}} = \frac{\pi^{4}}{4} {}_{4}F_{3} \left(\begin{array}{c} 1/2, 1/2, 1/2, 1/2 \\ 1, 1, 1 \end{array} \right) | 1 \right)$$

$$c_{4,2} = \frac{\pi^{4}}{64} \left[4_{4}F_{3} \left(\begin{array}{c} 1/2, 1/2, 1/2, 1/2 \\ 1, 1, 1 \end{array} \right) | 1 \right)$$

$$-3_{4}F_{3} \left(\begin{array}{c} 1/2, 1/2, 1/2, 1/2 \\ 2, 1, 1 \end{array} \right) | 1 \right) \left[-\frac{3\pi^{2}}{16} \right]$$

where F denotes Gauss' hypergeometric function.

D. H. Bailey, J. M. Borwein, D. Broadhurst and M. L. Glasser, "Elliptic Integral Evaluations of Bessel Moments," 2008, available at http://crd.lbl.gov/~dhbailey/dhbpapers/b3g.pdf.

Summary



- High-performance computing technology has now been established as the third more of scientific discovery, after theory and experimentation.
- Continued rapid progress is very likely, due both to Moore's Law and also to an influx of young researchers highly skilled in computing.
- Standard 64-bit (16-digit) computer hardware arithmetic is satisfactory for most of these computations, but some require more -- 32, 64 or even hundreds or thousands of digits.
- Software-based facilities now permit even very complicated computations to be performed with high levels of precision, requiring only minor modification to existing computer programs.
- High-precision computing, when combined with highly parallel computing, is now being used to discover numerous new significant results of mathematics and physics.

This talk is available at:

http://crd.lbl.gov/~dhbailey/dhbtalks/dhb-pelz.pdf